UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY



REGION III CENTRAL REGIONAL LABORATORY 201 DEFENSE HIGHWAY SUITE 200 ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND 21401

QUALITY ASSURANCE BRANCH

DATE

June 29, 1994

SUBJECT:

North Penn Area 12 - Quality Assurance Project Plan &

Field Sampling Plan (FY94145)

mog

FROM

Monica D. Jones, Environmental Scientist

Program Support Section (3ES32)

TO

Patrick McManus

SE PA Section (3HW21)

The Field Sampling Plan (FSP) and Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) for the North Penn Area 12 site has been reviewed for overall technical adequacy and for compliance with QAMS 005/80. Each of the required Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) elements have been addressed.

The FSP and QAPP reference the use of Special Analytical Services (SAS) for the analysis of samples which can not be analyzed using Routine Analytical Services (RAS). As you are aware, the SAS process has been replaced by the Delivery of Analytical Services (DAS) process. Any reference in the QAPP or FSP to SAS should be replaced with DAS. Also, the SAS requests which were included in the SAP should be submitted using the new DAS process.

In addition, the QAPP indicates that a number of soil borings will be analyzed by an onsite laboratory. The document indicates that these services will be provided by a soil sampling subcontractor. Before sampling and analysis begins at this site, it is recommended that the onsite laboratory submit a Laboratory Quality Assurance Plan (LQAP), which addresses the following elements: analytical methods, calibration procedures, QA objectives, data reduction, internal QC checks, sample custody, preventive maintenance, corrective action and audit procedures.

For additional information, please refer to the attached Quality Assurance Project Plan Review checklist. If you have any further questions, in reference to this review, please feel free to contact me at (410) 573-6847.



Environmental Protection Agency Region III

Revision No.: 4 Date: 1/3/91 Page: 1 of 16

Quality Assurance Project Plan Review

Document T	itle(s): Fiel	in - Area 12 d Sampling Plan and lality Assurance Project I	Docum	Document Number: FY94145				
Requester N Title: RPM	ame: Patric	•	Mail Code: 3HW21 Phone No.: (215) 597-8257					
Plan Prepare	ed by: CH2	M Hill	•					
Date Receive	ed: 6/2/94		Date 1	Request	ed by: 6/24/94			
Program:	XCER	CLA	REMOVAL		XFund-Lead			
	RCRA		XREMedial Sl		ENF-Lead State-Lead			
ummary				Y	N			
information		tient documentation - enor (and others) knows what?	_	X				
Has docume	nt been corr	ectly applied (comply wit	h	X	*****			
Does docum	ent accompl	ish what it is supposed to	?	X	••••			
•		found in the following e	1					
Title page Table of C Project De Org. and I	Contents escrip.	QA ObjectivesSampling ProcSample CustodyCalib. Proced.	Analytical Data Reduc Internal QC Audits	ction	Prev. MainData SOPsCorr. ActionQA Reporting			
See the attac	hed for disc	ussion of comments relat	ive to all elements.					
Conclusion/I Appro Resul		ntion: 	QA Reviewer	: Mon	ica D. Jones			
Cond	itional	\mathbf{v}	Doto Paview	Comple	oto: 6/20/04			

Section: I & II Revision No: 4 Date: 1/3/91 Page: 2 of 16

Identification	IA	IU	NI	NA
1) Title page				
Does page include: 1 - Title of project? 2 - Name(s) of principal investigators and affiliates shown? 3 - Appropriate approval lines at bottom? 4 - Plan prepared in document control format?				
II) Table of Contents				
Does Table include: 1 - List of all Plan required elements and appropriate page numbers? 2 - Include distribution list? 3 - Include list of Appendices?	X X	•••••		

Note: IA = Included & Acceptable

IU = Included & Unacceptable

NI = Not included

NA = Not applicable

Comments:

1. The approval sheet should be signed by the CH2M Hill SM and CH2M Hill's Designated QA Officer.

Section: III Revision No.: 4 Date: 1/3/91 Page: 3 of 16

III) Project Description	IA	IU	NI	NA
Are the following addressed (or referenced),				b*
consistently presented, technically correct?				
1 - Statement of general objectives (purpose)?	X		•••••	•••••
2 - Dates for start and completion of project and sampling	•			
activities (schedule)?	X	. ,	• • • • •	•••••
3 - Overview of project's scope (activities)?			•••••	
4 - Specific objectives for this phase of work?				
5 - Background information?		• •••••		
5a - Description of site?		• • • • • •		
5b - Site History (operational, legal, remedial efforts)?				•••••
6 - Brief statement of intended data uses?		• •••••		•••••
*7 - Description of sampling network design and rationale?			•••••	
7a - Design of overall monitoring systems?			•••••	
7b - Specific location of sampling sites?			•••••	
76 - Specific location of sampling sites? 7c - Justification of overall design?				
<u>-</u>				
*8 - Sample matrices?		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••
*9 - Sample locations?		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••
*10 - Parameters to be measured?		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••
*11 - Frequency of collection?		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••
*12 - Field and lab measurements?	X	• •••••	•••••	•••••
13 - Procedures for groundwater sample preparation, or other				
similar fractions/sub-groups specified and included in				
parameter definition?	X	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••	
14 - Type of sample(s) (grab, composite, etc.)?	X		•••••	*****
15 - Are data needs relative to data uses addressed?	**************************************		,	
(Will the data answer specific objectives?)	X			

*Depending on the Program and/or project, information related to sampling may be discussed under Project Description (Section III) or Sampling Procedures (Section VI) in the QAPjP or in a separate Field Sampling Plan - the questions apply regardless of format.

Section: IV
Revision No.: 4
Date: 1/3/91
Page: 4 of 16

IV) Project Organization	ΙÄ	IU	NI	NA
1 - Does the Plan identify key people responsible for:	•			
1a - Overall QA/QC?	X			•••••
1b - Sampling operations and sampling QC?	X			
1c - Laboratory analyses and laboratory QC?			•••••	
1d - Data processing and data processing QC?			•••••	
le - Data review oversight?			•••••	
1f - Performance and System Audits? (Lab and Field)		•••••		,
2 - Does the QAPiP define who performs:		••••	•••••	•••••
2a - Data review?	X	••••		
2b - Review and confirmation of any tentatively				•••••
identified organic compounds?	X			
2c - If CLP, preparation and final review of DAS requests?				
3 - Are phone numbers and addresses included?				
4 - Is line authority for all referenced organizations explained		•••••	- • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
or demonstrated by including an organizational chart(s)?	v			
4a - Are contractors and subcontractors included in		•••••	•••••	•••••
·		1		
organizational chart?	*****		•••••	
5 - Are personnel qualifications included?	v			
training? Experience? Resumes?	X	•••••	•••••	*****
6 - Is the organizational structure appropriate to	37			
accomplish the QA objectives of the project?	X			

Comments:

1. The soil sampling subcontractor has not been included in the organizational chart. (Fig 3-1)

Section: V
Revision No.: 4
Date: 1/3/91
Page: 5 of 16

)	QA Objectives (DQOs)	IA	IU	NI	NA
	1 - Is there a statement of intended data usage?	X	••••	•••••	•••••
	2 - Are the terms and definitions for precision, accuracy,		••		
	representativeness, comparability, and completeness	e.		•	
	properly used and expressed (i.e. QA/QC concepts and		·		
	theories are understood and properly implemented and				
	followed throughout the plan)?	X	•••••		
	3 - Are Data Quality Objectives (DQOs) quantitatively stated for	,		•	
	precision and accuracy (bias)?	X			
	3a - Have the following been defined for each matrix and				
	parameter?	•	,		
	1) Level of QA effort (frequency of QC, etc.)?	X	•••••	•••••	
	2) Accuracy (matrix spikes, surrogate spikes,		-		
	reference samples, etc.)?	X			
	3) Precision (replicate samples)?	X			
	4) Sensitivity or MDL?	X	•••••	••••	•••••
	5) Statistical reporting units?	X			
	3b - Are quantitative limits established for each?	X	•••••		
	3c - Are field and lab both covered?		•		
	3d - Are QA objectives presented in a table format?	X	•••••	••••	
	3e - Is it clear that a distinction has been defined for	•			
	"total" system variability and bias and not just				
	looking at the laboratory?	X		•••••	
	3f - Are objectives/requirements properly expressed	1			
	(e.g., not confused with capabilities)?	X		••••	. •••••
	4 - If appropriate, are completeness objectives	,			•
	quantitatively stated?	X			
	5 - Are representativeness and comparability appropriately addressed?	X		*****	
	6 - Are the interrelationships (and differences) between study				
	design (number of samples needed), analytical procedures,				
	internal OC, and data assessment reflected in the DOOs?	X			

Section: VI Revision No.: 4 Date: 1/3/91 Page: 6 of 16

VI) Sampling Procedures (see also Section III)					
		IA	IU	NI	NA
1 - Does the Plan:					
1a - Provide specific guidance for all field work?		X	•••••	•••••	• • • • • •
1b - Provide a mechanism for planning and approving					
site activities?		X	•••••		•••••
1c - Ensure that sampling activities are limited to				•	
those that are necessary and sufficient?	•	X	•••••		•••••
1d - Provide a common point of reference for all parties				•	
to ensure comparability and compatibility between					
all activities performed at the site?		X	•••••		•••••
2 - Are the following elements included?					
2a - Investigation objectives?		X	•••••		•••••
2b - Site background?		X			
2c - Analysis of existing data?				••••	
2d - Analytes of interest?		X			•••••
2e - Sample types?		X	•••••	•••••	•••••
2f - Map of locations to be sampled?		X			
2g - Sample locations and frequency?		X	•••••	••••••	•••••
2h - Technique or guideline used to select sites?	•	X	• • • • • •		· · · · · · · ·
2i - Specific sample collection methods?	•	X	•••••		
2j - Description of sampling devices?		X		•••••	•••••
2k - Containers (type and source)?	•	X	•••••		••••
21 - Preservatives (type and source)?		X	•••••	• • • • •	••••
2m - Procedures for preservation?		X	•••••	•••••	•••••
2n - Holding times?		X		• • • • • •	•••••
2o - Reagents (type and source)?		X	•••••	•••••	• • • • •
2p - Transport and storage?		X		•••••	•••••
2q - Preparation of sampling equipment before and		•	·		
during sampling) and containers?				•••••	
2r - Blanks?				•••••	
2s - Filtering procedures, if applicable?				• • • • • • •	
2t - Record-keeping requirements?				•••••	
2u - Coordination with laboratory?		X			

Section: VII Revision No.: 4 Date: 1/3/91 Page: 7 of 16

VII) Sample Custody	IA	IU	NI	NA
1 - Sample Collection: Does the plan address:				
1a - Field custody procedures?	X	•••••		
1) Transfer of custody and shipment?			•••••	••••
2) Receipt of samples?			•••••	
3) Lab custody procedures?				••••
1b - Does Plan include examples of forms, tags, labels,		4		
records, etc.?	X	•••••	•••••	
1c - Does Plan address evidentiary considerations?		• • • • • •		
2 - Do field documentation procedures:	-	,		
2a - Document source of reagents or supplies?	X			
2b - Include procedures/forms for recording the exact				
location and specific considerations associated				
with sample acquisition?	X		•••••	
2c - Document specific preservation method?			•••••	
2d - Include labels containing all necessary information?			•••••	
2e - Include form to track custody?		•••••		
3 - Do lab custody procedures:	-			*****
3a - Identify sample custodian?	*****	1		
3b - Provide for custody record within the lab?	*****	1		
3c - Specify procedures for sample handling, storage,	******	***		•••••
disbursement for analysis, and disposal?		1	****	
4 - Does the Plan address final evidence files?	X		*****	

Comments:

1. The QAPP indicates that a number of soil borings will be analyzed by an onsite laboratory. (pg 2-7) Before sampling and analysis begins at this site, it is recommended that the onsite laboratory submit a Laboratory Quality Assurance Plan, which addresses this element.

Section: VIII Revision No.: 4 Date: 1/3/91

Page: 8 of 16

VIII) Calibration Procedures and Frequency	IA	IU	NI	NA
1 - For the Field				
1a - Does Plan include methods/procedures to assure f	field			
equipment are functioning optimally?	X		•••••	
1b - Is schedule/frequency of above included?	X			
1c - Are equipment logbooks required to record usage	/•			
maintenance, calibration, and repair?	X	•••••	•••••	
1d - Does Plan include calibration standards or reagen	its to			
be used, their source and traceability procedures		•••••	•••••	•••••
1e - Does Plan include documentation requirements fo				
calibration:				
1) Date(s) of calibration?	X	••••	•••••	
2) Identification of standards used?	X	•••••	•••••	•••••
3) Personnel performing calibration?	X.,	•••••		
4) Results of calibration (raw data and	•			
summary statistics)?	X	•••••	•••••	
5) Corrective actions taken?	X	•••••	• • • • • •	
2 - Laboratory				
2a - Does Plan include methods/procedures to assure	lab			
equipment are functioning optimally?	•••••	1	•••••	
2b - Is schedule/frequency of above included?	*****	1	•••••	
2c - Are equipment logbooks required to record usage) ,			
maintenance, calibration, and repair?	*****	1	•••••	• • • • • •
2d - Does Plan include calibration standards to be use	d,			
their source and traceability procedures?	•••••	1	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
2e - Does Plan include calibration documentation requ	iirements:			
1) Date(s) of calibration?	•••••	1	• • • • • •	• • • • • •
2) Identification of standards used?	*****	1	•••••	
3) Personnel performing calibration?	*****	1		•••••
4) Results of calibration (raw data and				
summary statistics)?	•••••	1		• • • • • •
5) Corrective actions taken?	*****	1		• • • • • •
2f - Are calibration procedures applicable to analytic	al	-		
methods chosen?	•	1	•••••	
2g - Are all analytes included in calibration standard	s?	1	• • • • • •	

^{1.} The QAPP indicates that a number of soil borings will be analyzed by an onsite laboratory. (pg 2-7) Before sampling and analybegins at this site, it is recommended that the onsite laboratory submit a Laboratory Quality Assurance Plan, which addresses element.

Section: IX
Revision No.: 4
Date: 1/3/91
Page: 9 of 16

IX) Analytical Procedures	IA	IU	NI	NA
1 - Are all analytical procedures documented or written as SOPs				
and included in full or by reference for all parameters?	. • • • • •	1	•••••	••••
1a - Are all procedural steps and options described?	•••••	1	•••••	•••••
2 - Are the criteria of method selection included (e.g., in				
order to obtain a particular DQO)?	•	1	•••••	•••••
3 - If method choice is governed by regulatory requirement				•
(e.g., NPDES, SDWA, RCRA), have the appropriate				
methods been chosen?	*****	1	•••••	• • • • • •
4 - Are the following included?				
4a - Designated laboratory name?	•••••	1	•••••	•••••
4b - Description of laboratory facilities?	•••••	1	•••••	•••••
4c - Description of laboratory equipment and supplies?	••••	1	•••••	•••••
4d - Laboratory credentials?	•••••	1	• • • • • •	•••••
5 - Do the methods include specific QC requirements (type,				•
frequency, acceptance, etc.)?	•••••	1	• • • • • •	•••••
6 - Are the analytical procedures approved, or equivalent to				•
EPA procedures?	•••••	1	•••••	•••••
7 -Are analytical costs included?	•••••	•••••	X	•••••
7a - Are costs reasonable to meet objectives?	•••••	•••••	X	

^{1.} The QAPP indicates that a number of soil borings will be analyzed by an onsite laboratory. (pg 2-7) Before sampling and analysis begins at this site, it is recommended that the onsite laboratory submit a Laboratory Quality Assurance Plan, which addresses this element.

Section: X
Revision No.: 4
Date: 1/3/91
Page: 10 of 16

X) Data Reduction, Validation and Reporting		IA	IU	NI	NA
Reduction					
1 - Are units specified for all determinations?		X	•••••	•••••	
2 - Are equations/procedures used to calculate					
concentrations included or referenced?		X	•••••		
3 - Are the types of records to be maintained,					
described, including how and where stored?		X	•••••	•••••	•••••
4 - Are procedures included for transfer of data to forms,					•
reports, etc.?		X	•••••	•••••	*****
5 - Are procedures for proofing (transcription errors)		37			
and cross-calculation checks included?			•••••		
6 - Are procedures for handling blank results described?		A	•••••	•••••	••••
Validation					
1 - Are functions and scope specifically defined?		X	•••••		
2 - Are techniques presented and summarized?					
3 - Are criteria used to accept or reject data described					
in a uniform and consistent manner? (See also Section XI)		X	•••••	•••••	
4 - If CLP, does the Plan include provision for data review					
using the functional guidelines and qualified review		·			
personnel, etc.?		X	•••••	••;•••	•••••
Reporting					
1 - Is the flow or reporting scheme from collection of raw					
data through document storage included?		X			
2 - Are requirements for recordkeeping in field and lab		***	******		•••••
notebooks described?		X	•••••		
3 - Are the key individuals who will handle or report data	•			,	
identified?		X		••••	
4 - Are examples of forms and reports included?		X	•••••	••••	
5 - Does the Plan describe exactly what will be reported					
(e.g., QC results, etc.)?		X	• • • • • •	•••••	

Section: XI Revision No.: 4 Date: 1/3/91 Page 11 of 16

XI) Internal QC Checks	IA	IU	NI	NA
1 - Does Plan describe procedures for both field and lab?		1	•••••	•••••
2 - Are the protocols used (spikes, surrogates, blanks,				-
etc.) described for each parameter and matrix?	•••••	1	•••••	•••••
3 - Are field and lab acceptance or control limits				
specified for each?		1	•••••	•••••
4 - Is the frequency of the checks described?	•••••	1	. • • • • •	• • • • • •
5 - Is the system measuring total error/variability and				
not just sampling/lab error/variability?	X		•••••	
6 - Are the procedures described for internal QC checks				
consistent with the procedures used to assess				r
precision and accuracy (Section XIV)?	X	• • • • • •	•••••	

Comments:

1. The QAPP indicates that a number of soil borings will be analyzed by an onsite laboratory. (pg 2-7) Before sampling and analysis begins at this site, it is recommended that the onsite laboratory submit a Laboratory Quality Assurance Plan, which addresses this element.

Section: XII Revision No.: 4 Date: 1/3/91 Page: 12 of 16

XII) Performance and System Audits	IA	IU	NI	NA
1 - Are audits addressed:	·		1	
1a - For field activities (sample collection, analyses, etc.)?	X	•••••	•••••	
1b - For lab activities?	•••••	1		
2 - Does the Plan identify who will conduct the audit(s)	•		•	
2a - for field activities?	X			
2b - for lab activities?		•••••	,	
3 - Does the Plan describe what protocol will be used for audits?				
3a - for field activities?	X	•••••		
3b - for lab activities?		1	•••••	
4 - Are acceptance criteria defined?	•			
4a - for field activities?	X			
4b - for lab activities?		1		
5 - Does the Plan describe distribution of audit reports?	X			
6 - Is a schedule of audits included?	X			
7 - Are quality control samples scheduled?	•••••		X	

^{1.} The QAPP indicates that a number of soil borings will be analyzed by an onsite laboratory. (pg 2-7) Before sampling and analybegins at this site, it is recommended that the onsite laboratory submit a Laboratory Quality Assurance Plan, which addresses this element.

Section: XIII
Revision No.: 4
Date: 1/3/91
Page: 13 of 16

XIII)	Preventive Maintenance	IA	IU	NI	NA
. 1	- Does the Plan include a maintenance schedule to minimize downtime?				
	1a - for field activities?	X	••••	•••••	•••••
,	1b - for lab activities?	•••••	1	•••••	•••••
· · ·2	- Is a spare parts list available?	X		•••••	•••••
3	- Is a source of spare parts identified?	X	•••••	•••••	•••••
4	- Is the source of repair described?	X		•••	·

Comments:

1. The QAPP indicates that a number of soil borings will be analyzed by an onsite laboratory. (pg 2-7) Before sampling and analysis begins at this site, it is recommended that the onsite laboratory submit a Laboratory Quality Assurance Plan, which addresses this element.

Section: XIV
Revision No.: 4
Date: 1/3/91
Page: 14 of 16

IA	IU	NI	NA
		<i>t</i> .	-
X	• •••••		•••••
X		•••••	
X		• • • • • •	
X			
	X	X	X

Section XV Revision No.: 4 Date: 1/3/91 Page: 15 of 16

XV) Corrective Action for Out-of-Control Situations	IA	IU	NI	NA
1 - Does the Plan include a scheme to:				•
1a - Identify defects?	X			•••••
1b - Trace defects to source?	·X		•••••	•••••
1c - Plan and implement correction?	X	•••••	•	
1d - Document results of process?	X	•••••	•••••	
1e - Document where documents are kept?	X	•••••	•••••	•••••
2 - Does the Plan include predetermined limits for data				
acceptability beyond which corrective action is required?	••••	1	•••••	•••••
3 - Are procedures for corrective action (who initiates, who			· ·	
approves) included?		1	•••••	• • • • • •
4 - Is feedback from performance audits (lab and field) addressed?	X	•••••	•••••	•••••

^{1.} The QAPP indicates that a number of soil borings will be analyzed by an onsite laboratory. (pg 2-7) Before sampling and analysis begins at this site, it is recommended that the onsite laboratory submit a Laboratory Quality Assurance Plan, which addresses this element.

Section: XVI Revision No.: 4 Date: 1/3/91 Page: 16 of 16

XVI) QA Reporting Procedures to Management	IA	IU	NI	NA
1 - Does the Plan specify the type and frequency of reporting?2 - Do the reports address:	X	•••••	••••••	••••
2a - Status of project (time table)?	X	•••••		
2b - Results of performance and system audits?	X	•••••		
2c - Data quality assessment?	X	•••••	•••••	
2d - Significant QA problems and proposed				
corrective action?	X			
2e - Changes in the QAPjP?	X		••••	•••••
3 - Final Summary Report and distribution?	X	•••••	•••••	
3a - Final storage and security of data files?	X	•••••	•••••	